

TECH LIBRARY KAFB, NM

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

A WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION AT HIGH SUBSONIC SPEEDS OF THE LATERAL CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS OF VARIOUS PLAIN SPOILER CONFIGURATIONS ON A 3-PERCENT-THICK

60° DELTA WING

By Harleth G. Wiley

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory
Langley Field, Va.



WASHINGTON May 26, 1954

By Authority of Nasa Tan Flo Announgment #114	-
GRADE OF OFFICER MAKING CHANGE)	
3 A M. Lal	 And the second s
	•

•

·



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

A WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION AT HIGH SUBSONIC SPEEDS OF THE LATERAL CONTROL CHARACTERISTICS OF VARIOUS PLAIN SPOILER CONFIGURATIONS ON A 3-PERCENT-THICK

60° DELTA WING

By Harleth G. Wiley

SUMMARY

An investigation was made in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel to determine the lateral control characteristics at high subsonic speeds of various plain spoiler configurations on a thin 60° delta wing. Investigated were the effects of spoiler projection, span, and spanwise and chordwise location. The delta wing had an NACA 65A003 airfoil parallel to free stream, a leading-edge sweep of 60°, and an aspect ratio, based on the theoretical tip span, of 2.31. Tests were made at 0° angle of sideslip through an angle-of-attack range of -2° to about 24° and over a Mach number range of 0.60 to 0.94. Spoiler deflections investigated were -1.0 to -9.5 percent mean aerodynamic chord.

Analysis of rolling-moment results indicated that favorable rolling moments can be obtained up to an angle of attack of 24° for a plain spoiler extending from the fuselage to 67 percent local-wing semispan and located at or behind the 85-percent wing-root-chord station. For spoilers located in a region of favorable spoiler effectiveness, the rolling moment produced increased with rearward movement of chordwise position, increase of spoiler span, and increase of projection. Partial-span spoilers, when located outboard on the wing, provided greater incremental rolling moment at low angles of attack than when located at inboard or midspan positions, but, at the higher angles of attack, the reverse was true. The variation of rolling moment with Mach number was minor but was somewhat dependent upon chordwise location of the spoiler.

INTRODUCTION

The present investigation is a continuation of a program conducted by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics to determine the






applicability of spoilers as lateral-control devices on thin delta wings (refs. 1 and 2). The present investigation was made in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel to determine the lateral control characteristics at high subsonic speeds of various plain spoiler configurations on a thin 60° delta wing with rounded tips. The investigation covered the effects of spoiler projection, span, and of various spoiler wingspan and wing-chord locations. Spoiler projections tested varied from -1.0 to -9.5 percent wing mean aerodynamic chord. Tests were made at 0° angle of sideslip through a nominal angle-of-attack range of -2° to 24° and over a Mach number range of 0.60 to 0.94.

COEFFICIENTS AND SYMBOLS

The results of the tests are presented as standard NACA coefficients of forces and moments about the stability axes as presented in figure 1. All coefficients and constants are based on the consideration that the wing extends to the theoretical tip (fig. 2). Coefficients and symbols used herein are defined as follows:

$\mathrm{c}^{\mathtt{r}}$	lift coefficient, Lift/qS
c_{D}	drag coefficient, Drag/qS
$C_{\mathbf{m}}$	pitching-moment coefficient about 0.25c, Pitching moment/qS
Cl	rolling-moment coefficient, Rolling moment/qSb
$C_{\mathbf{n}}$	yawing-moment coefficient, Yawing moment/qSb
$\mathtt{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}$	lateral-force coefficient, Lateral force/qS
$\Delta C_{\mathbf{L}}$	incremental lift coefficient ($C_{\rm L}$ of wing with spoiler less $C_{\rm L}$ of wing alone)
ΔC_{D}	incremental drag coefficient ($^{\text{C}}_{\text{D}}$ of wing with spoiler less $^{\text{C}}_{\text{D}}$ of wing alone)
ΔC_{m}	incremental pitching-moment coefficient ($C_{\rm m}$ of wing with spoiler less $C_{\rm m}$ of wing alone)
ΔCl	incremental rolling-moment coefficient (C_l of wing with spoiler less C_l of wing alone)



ΔCn	incremental yawing-moment coefficient ($C_{\mathbf{n}}$ of wing with spoiler less $C_{\mathbf{n}}$ of wing alone)
ΔCY	incremental lateral-force coefficient (C_{Y} of wing with spoiler less C_{Y} of wing alone)
S	wing area, sq ft
ъ	wing span, ft
bl	local wing span, ft
с	local wing chord, ft
cr	wing-root chord, 1.974 ft
ਟ	mean aerodynamic chord of wing, $\frac{2}{5}\int_0^{b/2} c^2 dy$, ft
y	spanwise distance normal to plane of symmetry, ft
Уį	distance from plane of symmetry to inboard end of spoiler, ft
Уo	distance from plane of symmetry to outboard end of spoiler, ft
ъs	spoiler span, yo - yi, ft
cs	chordwise location of spoiler on wing measured from wing leading edge along root chord, ft
ρ	mass density of air, slugs/cu ft
v	free-stream velocity, ft/sec
ā	free-stream dynamic pressure, $\frac{\rho V^2}{2}$, lb/sq ft
М	Mach number
R	Reynolds number of wing based on \overline{c}
α	angle of attack of wing, deg
δ _s	spoiler projection, negative when projected above upper surface of wing, percent \overline{c}

COMPTDEMENTAL



MODEL AND APPARATUS

The basic wing-fuselage combination used in this investigation is the same as that used in reference 3. Dimensional characteristics of the wing and fuselage are given in figure 2. The wing had a leading-edge sweep of 60° , an aspect ratio, based on the wing span extending to the theoretical tip, of 2.31, an NACA 65A003 airfoil section parallel to free stream, and was built of aluminum alloy. For practical considerations the wing tips were rounded as shown in figure 2.


Dimensions and locations of spoilers tested are presented in figure 3. Spoilers 1 to 4 were of constant local span extending from the fuselage side to $0.67b_l/2$ with various chordwise locations $(0.60c_r, 0.85c_r, 0.93c_r, \text{ and } 1.00c_r)$. Spoilers 5 to 8 had a constant wing-chord location of $0.85c_r$. Spoiler 5 extended from the fuselage to $0.33b_l/2$; spoilers 6 and 7 extended from $0.33b_l/2$ to $0.67b_l/2$ and from $0.67b_l/2$ to $1.00b_l/2$, respectively; and spoiler 8 extended from the fuselage to $1.00b_l/2$. All spoilers were made of 1/8-inch-thick aluminum angle and were attached to the upper surface of the left wing with screws. (See fig. 3.)

The model was tested on the sting support of the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel and was mounted on a six-component electrical strain-gage balance housed within the fuselage. Aerodynamic forces and moments were measured on calibrated recording potentiometers.

TESTS AND CORRECTIONS

The tests were made in the Langley high-speed 7- by 10-foot tunnel over a Mach number range of 0.60 to 0.94 at 0° angle of sideslip and over a nominal angle-of-attack range of -2° to 24° . Spoilers 1, 2, and 3 were tested at deflections varying from $-0.010\overline{c}$ to $-0.095\overline{c}$ and spoiler 4 was tested at deflections of $-0.010\overline{c}$ to $-0.050\overline{c}$. Spoilers 5 to 8 were tested at a constant deflection of $-0.050\overline{c}$. Average Reynolds number for the tests (based on the mean aerodynamic chord of the wing) varied with Mach number from about 4.5×10^6 to 5.3×10^6 (fig. 4).

Tunnel-blocking corrections, based on the velocity-ratio method of reference 1, were applied to the data. Jet-boundary corrections, which were applied to the angle of attack and drag, were determined from reference 5. Drag data are presented with no base pressure adjustments applied to account for the differences in pressure at the base of the model and that of static free stream.



The angles of attack were corrected for deflection of the stingsupport system under load. No corrections were applied to the data of the present paper to account for aeroelastic deflection of the model wings under load.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All aerodynamic coefficients of this paper are based on the assumption that the wing span extends to the theoretical tip.

The aerodynamic characteristics of the plain 60° delta wing are presented in figure 5 and table I. (The wing-fuselage model is the same as that tested and presented in reference 3.) The variations of incremental rolling-moment coefficient ΔC_l with angle of attack at various Mach numbers and spoiler deflections are presented in figures 6 to 10 for all spoilers tested. All other incremental aerodynamic characteristics, ΔC_L , ΔC_D , ΔC_m , ΔC_n , and ΔC_Y , as well as ΔC_l , are presented in tables II to IX. The discussion of the present paper will be confined to the rolling-moment characteristics of the various spoiler configurations as installed on the wing. (For these tests, with the spoilers installed on the left wing, negative values of ΔC_l are favorable in that the negative sign indicates rolling moment in the desired direction.)

Effects of Angle of Attack

For all spoiler configurations at all projections and Mach numbers investigated, incremental rolling-moment coefficient ΔC_l increased negatively or remained generally constant with increase in angle of attack α up to angles of attack of at least 8° , and generally decreased (increased positively) at the higher angles of attack (figs. 6 to 10). At an angle of attack of about 12° , an abrupt "break" in the curve of the variation of ΔC_l with α occurred for several spoiler configurations at various Mach numbers. The break is similar to a nonlinearity obtained with this type spoiler on a swept wing and is attributed to wing-leading-edge flow separation as shown in reference 6.

Effects of Spoiler Chordwise Location

Representative variations of ΔC_l with chordwise location at various deflections for spoilers extending from the fuselage to $0.67b_l/2$ are presented in figure 11 for $\alpha = 0^\circ$ and 8.1° , and Mach numbers of 0.60




and 0.90. For spoiler chordwise locations and deflections which produce favorable rolling moments at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ (figs. 6 to 9), the negative value of ΔC_l increased linearly with rearward movement of spoiler positions at M = 0.60 and 0.90. (At $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$, the linear portion of the curves was extrapolated to the line of no-roll effectiveness ($\Delta C_{\lambda} = 0$), to indicate the maximum forward spoiler location which probably will produce roll at each projection.) As indicated by the intersection of the extrapolated curves (dashed line), with the line of no-roll effectiveness at M = 0.60 (fig. 11), a spoiler extending from the fuselage to $0.67b_1/2$ will provide favorable roll at projections of $-0.010\overline{c}$ and -0.095c if located rearward of 0.88cr and 0.66cr, respectively. At M = 0.90, it is apparent that favorable roll may be obtained for a specific deflection at spoiler locations somewhat more forward than for M = 0.60. (The relation between control deflection and chordwise location in the determination of regions of favorable control effectiveness is also shown in reference 7 for split flaps on a 480 delta wing.)

Effects of Spoiler Span and Spanwise Location

Presented in figure 12 is the variation at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ of ΔC_{l} with spoiler span for $-0.050\overline{c}$ projection spoilers located at $0.85c_{r}$, and extending from the fuselage to $0.33b_{l}/2$, $0.67b_{l}/2$, and $1.00b_{l}/2$ (spoilers 5, 2, and 8, respectively). At M = 0.60 and M = 0.90, the negative increase of ΔC_{l} with increase in spoiler span was generally linear (fig. 12).

In order to determine the individual contributions to ΔC_l of various span segments of a complete span spoiler of -0.050c projection, spoiler 8 was segmented and tested as spoilers 5, 6, and 7, with spans of $0.13b_1/2$, $0.33b_1/2$, and $0.33b_1/2$, respectively (fig. 10). The partialspan spoiler segment, when located in the outboard position on the wing, (spoiler 7), provided somewhat more linear variations of ΔC_l with α at low Mach numbers than when located at the midspan and inboard positions (spoilers 5 and 6, respectively). The outboard segment (spoiler 7) provided greater negative values of ΔC_l at low angles of attack than did the inboard and midpoint segments (spoilers 5 and 6), but for the higher angle-of-attack ranges the reverse was true. This trend is the same as that obtained at low speeds in reference 1. The incremental contributions at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ of ΔC_l for spoilers 5, 6, and 7 were added to simulate the characteristics of spoilers 2 and 8, respectively, and the results are plotted with flagged symbols on figure 12. At M = 0.60, the added incremental contributions of spoilers 5, 6, and 7 were slightly less than the values of ΔC_7 exhibited for complete spoilers 2 and 8,





a condition opposite to that shown in reference 1. At M=0.90, the total contributions of the spoiler segments were greater than the values of ΔC_{7} for the complete spoilers (fig. 12).

Effects of Spoiler Projection

The data of figures 6 to 9 have been cross-plotted in figure 13 to show the effects of spoiler projection on ΔC_1 at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 8.1° and M = 0.60 and 0.90 for a spoiler extending from the fuselage to $0.67b_1/2$ at wing-chord locations of 0.60cr, 0.85cr, 0.93cr, and 1.00cr, respectively. Favorable rolling-moment coefficients were produced throughout the spoiler-deflection range at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ at M = 0.60 and 0.90 only for spoilers located at 0.93cr and 1.00cr (spoilers 3 and 4). For a spoiler location of $0.85c_r$, (spoiler 2), favorable values of ΔC_l were obtained at M = 0.60 only for projections above about $-0.010\overline{c}$. Spoiler 1, located at 0.60c, produced generally unfavorable values of AC, throughout the deflection range at $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ at both Mach numbers (fig. 13). (The unfavorable values of ΔC_l at chordwise locations of 0.60c, probably result from the reattachment of flow rearward of the spoiler as indicated in reference 8. Unpublished data show that incorporation of a slot behind the spoiler aids in preventing flow reattachment, thereby improving the rolling characteristics of spoilers at such locations.) At an angle of attack of 8.1° and Mach numbers of 0.60 and 0.90, favorable rolling moments were produced throughout the deflection range at all wing-chord locations. (The increase in favorable effectiveness with increase in angle of attack for spoilers located in forward positions is also shown in reference 1.)

In the range of favorable rolling effectiveness at $\alpha=0^{\circ}$ and 8.1° and Mach numbers of 0.60 and 0.90, the value of ΔC_{l} increased negatively with spoiler projection. At the higher deflections there was a general decrease in the variation of ΔC_{l} with spoiler projection, figure 13.

Effects of Mach Number

The variation of ΔC_l with Mach number for spoilers extending from the fuselage to $0.67b_l/2$ and with wing-chord locations of $0.85c_r$, $0.93c_r$, and $1.00c_r$, is presented in figure 14 at a deflection of $-0.050\overline{c}$ for $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and 8.1° . At $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$, the variation of ΔC_l with Mach number varied from a slight linear negative increase throughout the Mach number range for a spoiler located at $0.85c_r$ to a linear decrease of ΔC_l with Mach number for a spoiler located at $1.00c_r$. The maximum variation of

TA THURSDENSON

 ΔC_l with Mach number was about 20 percent. (Similar trends were obtained for spoilers located on the swept wing of reference 9.) At $\alpha = 8.1^{\circ}$, values of ΔC_l remained generally constant throughout the Mach number range for a spoiler located at 0.93c_r and decreased negatively for spoilers located at 0.85c_r and 1.00c_r.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

From an investigation to determine the lateral control characteristics of various spoilers on a thin 60° delta wing with rounded tips at high subsonic speeds, the following conclusions may be made:

- 1. Favorable rolling moments were obtained for a spoiler extending from the fuselage to 67-percent local-wing semispan and located at or behind the 85-percent wing-root-chord station and rolling effectiveness was linearly increased as the spoiler position was moved progressively rearward.
- 2. At Mach numbers of 0.60 and 0.90, for a spoiler located at 85 percent root chord and deflected -5.0 percent mean aerodynamic chord, rolling effectiveness increased linearly with outward increase of spoiler span.
- 3. Partial-span spoiler segments of -5.0 percent projection and 85-percent chordwise location, when located outboard on the wing, provided greater values of incremental rolling-moment coefficient at low angles of attack than when located in the midspan and inboard positions. At the higher angles of attack the reverse was true.
- 4. For spoilers located at positions which provided favorable rolling-moment coefficients throughout the angle-of-attack range, rolling-moment coefficient increased with increase in projection.
- 5. At angles of attack between 8° and 12°, an abrupt nonlinear variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack generally occurred for most spoiler configurations.

A THE STATE OF THE

6. The variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with Mach number was dependent upon spoiler chordwise location and the maximum variation was about 20 percent.

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va., March 17, 1954.



REFERENCES

- 1. Wiley, Harleth G., and Solomon, Martin: A Wind-Tunnel Investigation at Low Speeds of the Aerodynamic Characteristics of Various Spoiler Configurations on a Thin 60° Delta Wing. NACA RM L52J13, 1952.
- 2. Croom, Delwin R.: Characteristics of Flap-Type Spoiler Ailerons at Various Locations on a 60° Delta Wing With a Double Slotted Flap.

 NACA RM L52J24, 1952.
- 3. Wiggins, James W.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation at High Subsonic Speeds of the Static Longitudinal and Static Lateral Stability Characteristics of a Wing-Fuselage Combination Having a Triangular Wing of Aspect Ratio 2.31 and an NACA 65A003 Airfoil. NACA RM L53G09a, 1953.
- 4. Hensel, Rudolph W.: Rectangular-Wind-Tunnel Blocking Corrections Using the Velocity-Ratio Method. NACA TN 2372, 1951.
- 5. Gillis, Clarence L., Polhamus, Edward C., and Gray, Joseph L., Jr.: Charts for Determining Jet-Boundary Corrections for Complete Models in 7- by 10-Foot Closed Rectangular Wind Tunnels. NACA WR L-123, 1945. (Formerly NACA ARR L5G31.)
- 6. Hammond, Alexander D., and McMullan, Barbara M.: Chordwise Pressure Distribution at High Subsonic Speeds Near Midsemispan of a Tapered 35° Sweptback Wing of Aspect Ratio 4 Having NACA 65A006 Airfoil Sections and Equipped With Various Spoiler Ailerons. NACA RM L52C28, 1952.
- 7. Holford, J. F., and Leathers, J. W.: Low Speed Wind Tunnel Tests of Some Split Flap Arrangements on a 48° Delta Wing. Tech. Note No. Aero. 2188, British R.A.E., Sept. 1952.
- 8. Wenzinger, Carl J., and Rogallo, Francis M.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Spoiler, Deflector, and Slot Lateral-Control Devices on Wings With Full-Span Split and Slotted Flaps. NACA Rep. 706, 1941.
- 9. Schult, Eugene D., and Fields, E. M.: Free-Flight Measurements of the Rolling Effectiveness and Drag of Trailing-Edge Spoilers on a Tapered Sweptback Wing at Mach Numbers Between 0.6 and 1.4. NACA RM L53L14a, 1954.

TABLE I .- AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PLAIN 600 DELTA WING

и	deg	c _L	c _D	C _{max}	c,	C _n	CY
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.05- .00- 4.09 8.21 12.34 16.29 20.35 23.38 2.06-	.0810- .0026- .1826 .4018 .6119 .8002 .9603 1.0564 .0850- .0019-	.0099 .0073 .0171 .0569 .1259 .2220 .3398 .4379 .0096	.0084 .0006- .0231- .0455- .0588- .0988- .1230- .1406- .0102	.0008- .0010- .0014- .0018- .0023- .0019- .0020- .0008- .0007-	.0001- .0001- .0001- .0002 .0000- .0000- .0000-	.0027 .0025 .0029 .0048 .0061 .0086 .0105 .0140 .0025
.80	4.13	.2042	.0181	.0287-	.0016-	.0001-	.0028
.80	8.28	. 4384	.0615	.0590~	.0015-	.0002-	.0048
.80	12.43	.6237	.1303	.0699~	.0020-	.0000	.0068
.80	16.58	.8369	. 2345	.0981-	.0017-	.0001-	.0083
.80	20.63	.9396	.3390	.1236-	.00.24-	.0005-	.0097
.80	23.63	.9688'	.4126	.1494-	.0001-	.0030-	.0100
.85	2.06-	.0897-	.0094	.0107	.0007-	.0000-	.0027
.85	.00-	.0003-	.0067	.0017-	.0009~	.0001-	.0015
.85	4.13	.2096	.0185	.0312-	.0014-	.0001-	.0032
.85	8.30	.4513	.0635	.0641-	.0017-	.0002-	.0047
.85	12.44-	.6183	.1299	.0700-	.0015-	.0002-	.0065
.85	16.57	.8319	.2351	.1087-	.0019-	.0001-	.0085
.85	20.62	.9142	.3323	.1288-	.0021-	.0012-	.0096

TABLE I.- Concluded

AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PLAIN 60° DELTA WING

и	a, deg	C _L	c_{D}	C ^m	c _z	c ⁿ	СY
.90	2.06-	.0927-	.0093	.0120	.0008-	.0000-	.0025
.90	.00-	.0002-	.0066	.0011-	.0010-	-0002-	.0010
.90	4.13	.2233	.0196	.0360-	.0015-	.0001-	.0024
.90	8.29	.4772	.0673	.0793-	.0015-	.0002-	.0056
.90	12.44	.7189	,1502	.1238-	.0015-	.0007-	.0071
.90	16.57	.8449	. 2416	.1255-	.0018-	.0003~	.0080
.94	2.07-	.0940-	.0096	.0140	.0007~	.0000-	.0020
.94	.00-	.0024	.0068	.0014-	.0011-	.0001-	.0010
.94	4.14	.2337	.0207	.0411-	.0015-	.0001-	.0024
.94	8.28	. 4943	.0716	.0962-	.0016-	.0004-	.0045

$$c_{g} = 0.60c_{r}; y_{1} = 0.30b_{1}/2; y_{0} = 0.67b_{1}/2$$

(a) $\delta_B = -0.0108$

¥	a, deg	VC T	AC _D	AC_	VC.	AC _n	VC.A.
.60 .60 .60 .60	2.02- .02 4.11 8.22 12.34 16.47 20.56 23.62	.0265 .0263 .0310 .0209 .0078- .0204 .0206	.0008 .0017 .0045 .0055 .0015 .0075 .0085	.0019- .0028- .0031- .0019- .0022 .0210	.0004 .0001 .0003 .0002- .0007- .0018- .0028-	.0002- .0003- .0003- .0003- .0002- .0005	.0065- .0059- .0052- .0059- .0061- .0071- .0075-
00000000555555555555555555555555555555	2.03- 4.13 8.30 12.43 16.57 20.63 23.60 2.05- 4.15 8.14 12.46 16.58 20.64	.0195 .01939 .01188 .01198 .01199 .01199 .01198 .01198 .01198 .01198 .014168 .0045	.0018 .0023 .00447 .00465 .00081- .00509 .0019 .0028 .004739 .00159 .00159	.0019- .0019- .0037- .00053- .0045- .0011- .0004- .0013- .00652- .0046- .0029-	.0004 .0004 .0004445 .000445 .00045 .00001 .000152 .00040 .000152 .00040	.0002- .0001- .0001- .0011- .0021- .0036- .0031- .0001- .0002- .0001- .0001- .0002- .0001-	.0057- .0043- .0045- .0045- .0065- .0065- .0061- .0057- .0057-
990000	2.04- .01 4.17 8.30 12.46 16.58 2.02- .02 4.13 8.28	.0244 .0212 .0167 .0003 .0069- .0055 .0346 .0271 .0305	.0024 .0032 .0048 .0047 .0027 .0046 .0031 .0048 .0077	.0009- .0013- .00047 .00116- .0012- .00154- .0067-	.0003 .00034~ .00049- .00023 .00002	.0002- .00002- .0003 .0014 .0015 .0001- .0002- .0002-	.0065- .0049- .0046- .0064- .0066- .0025- .0010- .0009-

TABLE II .- Continued

INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELITA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_B = 0.60c_T; y_1 = 0.30b_1/2; y_0 = 0.67b_1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) $\delta_B = -0.025c$.

M	deg	AC _L	vc ^D	∆C _m	VC ²	ΔC _n	AC _T
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.03- .01 4.10 8.23 12.35 16.45 20.54 23.60	.0379 .0281 .0345 .0242 .0121 .0031- .0062-	.0050 .0065 .0092 .0111 .0108 .0049 .0029	.0094- .0098- .0121- .0073- .0053- .0195 .0232	.0015 .0013 .0009 .0021- .0021- .0074- .0087-	.0001 .0000- .0000- .0003 .0001- .0034 .0069	.0022 .0023 .0016 .0025 .0021 .0030 .0054
800 800 800 800 800 800 800 805 805 805	2.06- .000- 4.12 8.26 12.42 16.55 20.63 23.63 2.06- 4.11 8.28 12.45 16.56 20.63	.0315 .0311 .0251 .0285 .0038 .0373- .0144- .0125- .0337 .0308 .0308 .0341 .0541 .0222-	.0055 .0065 .0088 .0076 .00467 .00177 .00355 .00685 .00884 .0175 .0070	0128- 0138- 0157- 00177- 00065- 000151 00046- 01448- 01467- 00097- 002655-	.0019 .0017 .0012 .0022- .0096- .00952- .0010 .0010 .0082- .0089- .0035-	.0001 .00003 .0004 .00064 .00064 .00068 .00068 .00001 .00003 .00017 .00052	.0027 .0013 .0013 .0024 .0024 .0028 .0016 .0016 .0016
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.06- .01- 4.12 8.28 12.42 16.55 2.08- .01- 4.11 8.26	.0356 .0279 .0139 .0018- .0165- .0193- .0298 .0392	.0061 .0075 .0084 .0091 .0060 .0015 .0066 .0082	.0167- .0172- .0161- .0066- .0033 .0010 .0171- .0184- .0201-	.0022 .0015 .0002 .0035- .0081- .0079- .0018 .0007- .0023-	.0003 .0007 .0007 .0005 .0019 .0045 .0003	.0026 .0011 .0007 .0029 .0018 .0039 .0021 .0011

TABLE II. - Continued

INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELITA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 1

$$[c_g = 0.60c_r; y_1 = 0.30b_l/2; y_0 = 0.67b_l/2]$$

(c) t_B = -0.050c

			(c) oB = -0				
M	a, deg	VC.	AC _D	AC ₂₂	AC ₂	AC _n	∇C ^X
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.04- .00- 4.08 8.20 12.33 16.43 20.52 23.59	.0513 .0430 .0408 .0218 .0098- .0304- .0417- .0231-	.0119 .0141 .0168 .0185 .0134 .0051 .0033-	.0224- .0241- .0280- .0238- .0078- .0181 .0254	.0041 .0029 .0024 .0011- .0074- .0112- .0104-	.0006 .0006 .0007 .0011 .0021 .0063 .0092	.0056- .0051- .0044- .0047- .0040- .0046- .0064-
0000000005555555	2.073- 4.083 12.40 16.52 23.008 4.283 20.665- 4.284 12.41 16.63	.03274	.01448 .01453 .01533 .00045 .00045 .00145 .00145 .00145 .00145	.0271- .0271- .0258- .0208- .0197- .00023 .00677- .02556- .02518- .02001- .00301-	.0038 .0032 .0004 .0032- .0129- .0129- .0054- .00037 .00037 .00037 .00151- .0156-	.0008 .0008 .00017 .00052 .00090 .0010 .0009 .0010 .00018 .0048 .0048	.0052- .0044- .0044- .0050- .0051- .0060- .0041- .0056- .0048- .0050- .0039- .0053- .0068-
9900	2.09- .04- 4.08 8.25 12.42 16.54 2.11- 4.07 8.22	.0342 .0203 .0177- .0180- .0474- .0326- .0123 .0069 .0140-	.0137 .0153 .0147 .0148 .0076 .0033 .0157 .0176	.0261- .0255- .0196- .0140- .0090- .0015- .0205- .0213- .0224- .0185-	.0029 .0017 .0019- .0050- .0119- .0105- .0013 .0001- .0024- .0010-	.0012 .0014 .0029 .0017 .0033 .0060 .0015 .0018 .0032	.0060- .0052- .0049- .0057- .0057- .0057- .0066- .0058- .0056-

TABLE II.- Concluded

INCREMENTAL ABRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 1

 $e_g = 0.60e_T$; $y_1 = 0.30b_1/2$; $y_0 = 0.67b_1/2$ (d) $\theta_g = -0.095c$.

¥	a, dog	AC _I ,	AC _D	≜ C _m	AC,	AC _n	ACY
666666666666666666666666666666666666666	2.06- .03- 4.05 8.15 12.28 16.40 20.52 23.58	.0590 .0431 .0232 .0242- .0398- .0538- .0295-	.0216 .0257 .0285 .0264 .0165 .0059 .0022	.0399- .0408- .0389- .0299- .0243- .0126 .0128	.0060 .0045 .0010 .0056- .0113- .0156- .0095-	.0013 .0019 .0053 .0059 .0102 .0106	.0057- .0065- .0054- .0046- .0032- .0025- .0060-
000000005555555	2.11- 4.064 8.19 12.34 16.51 20.64 2.127 4.135 12.351 2.351 2.351 2.553	.02555	.0261 .0275 .0265 .0196 .0175 .0002 .0051 .0268 .0269 .0198 .0186 .0058	9	.0031 .0018 .0020- .0072- .0171- .0157- .0077- .0021 .0035- .0075- .0174- .0151- .0073-	.0019 .0022 .0033 .0055 .0081 .0107 .0108 .0022 .0038 .0057 .0083 .0102	.0071- .0062- .0041- .0042- .0041- .0062- .00735- .0073- .0073- .0009- .0005
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.13- .07- 4.04 8.17 12.34 16.50 2.13- .07- 4.03 8.34	.0042 .0061- .0461- .0768- .0889- .0614- .0237- .0164- .0382- .0554-	.0281 .0300 .0274 .0183 .0074 .0034 .0352 .0368 .0363	.0305- .0287- .0236- .0166- .0052- .0044- .0144- .0230- .0305- .0495	.0010 .0009- .0042- .0084- .0151- .0136- .0014- .0011- .0022-	.0025 .0033 .0042 .0059 .0079 .0086 .0032 .0033	.0081- .0064- .0063- .0056- .0048- .0048- .0090- .0073- .0042-

TABLE III.- INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 2

7

Note that the minus signs are given after the values.

$$c_{\rm g} = 0.85 c_{\rm r}; \ y_{\rm i} = 0.20 b_1/2; \ y_{\rm o} = 0.67 b_1/2$$

(a) $\delta_{\rm B} = -0.0107$

							
) k	a, deg	AC _L	AC _D	AC _m	AG ₂	AC ₂₂	ACY
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.05- .01- 4.09 8.22 12.34 16.46 20.57 23.62	.0116 .0018 .0088 .0037 .0108- .0061 .0094 .0068	.0027 .0033 .0038 .0041 .0016 .0042 .0054	.0074- .0074- .0064- .0060- .0002- .0203 .0278	.0006 .0004 .0003- .0003- .0024- .0026- .0028-	.0004- .0004- .0004- .0004- .0001 .0007 .0013	.0033- .0027- .0014- .0014- .0003- .0013- .0026-
888888888888888888888888888888888888888	2.07- 4.116 8.26 12.42 16.56 20.661 20.661 4.138 4.28 4.16.56 20.651	.0036- .0103- .0103- .0197- .0151- .0224- .0139- .00163- .00681- .0084- .0100- .0045-	.0034 .0036 .0022 .0007- .0015- .0047- .0034 .0034 .0039 .0016 .0018	.0050- .0046- .0047- .0017- .0024 .0029 .0015 .0019 .0026- .0003- .00035	.0007- .0007- .00013- .0024- .0030- .0041- .00052- .00013- .00014- .00024- .00028-	.0003- .0003- .0003- .0003- .0013 .0051 .0045- .0003- .0003- .0001- .0003- .0003-	.0018- .0014- .00112- .0016- .0009- .0058- .0021- .0026- .0018- .0018- .0018- .0018-
990009999999999999999999999999999999999	2.07- .00- 4.13 8.30 12.45 16.58 2.02- .01- 4.01 8.27	.0057- .0059- .0130- .0184- .0411- .0110- .0044- .0109- .0248- .0113-	.0041 .0042 .0032 .0019 .0015- .0002 .0044 .0044 .0028	.0012- .0007- .0025 .0067 .0261 .0017 .0187 .0010 .0419- .0047	.0005- .0011- .0015- .0020- .0110- .0025- .0009- .0014- .0020-	.0003- .0002- .0002- .0000- .0044 .0009 .0003- .0004- .0001-	.0025- .0014- .0015- .0031- .0042- .0031- .0022- .0023- .0013-

TABLE III - Continued

INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 2

 $[c_g = 0.85c_r; y_1 = 0.20b_1/2; y_0 = 0.67b_1/2]$ (b) $\delta_g = -0.025c$.

м	a, deg	AC _L	AC _D	AC _M	AC 1	AC _n	ΔCY
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.05- 4.08 8.19 12.32 16.43 20.55 23.60	.0153- .0250- .0300- .0278- .0368- .0397- .0051	.0067 .0068 .0041 .0035 .0005- .0066- .0062	.0011- .0012- .0013 .0024- .0016- .0210 .0259	.0022- .0024- .0038- .0052- .0054- .0053- .0076-	.0001- .0000- .0008 .0007 .0008 .0019 .0037	.0042- .0043- .0035- .0044- .0038- .0028- .0027-
88800000000000000000000000000000000000	2.08- .02- 4.116 12.40 16.53 23.61- 4.139 12.45 16.55 20.65	.0326- .0297- .0418- .0418- .0352- .0312- .00132- .0124- .02295- .02295- .0244- .02295- .0244- .0244- .02295- .0244-	.0086 .0079 .0048 .0029 .0001- .0032- .0042 .0011- .0092 .0089 .0071 .0049 .0131 .0061	.0058 .0048 .00795 .0095 .0007 .0007 .0095 .0120 .0141 .0106 .0076 .0002	.0037- .0036- .0047- .0073- .0056- .0058- .0061- .0044- .0040- .0053- .0073- .0073-	.0002 .0009 .00012 .00044 .0056 .00001- .00009 .00015 .00034 .00062	.0036- .0039- .0043- .0051- .0028- .0037- .0035- .0068- .0057- .0044- .0049-
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.06- 4.13 8.30 12.47 16.59 2.06- 4.13 8.30	.0293- .0270- .0359- .0483- .0400- .0179 .0347- .0296- .0435- .0381-	.0104 .0100 .0077 .0043 .0353- .0126 .0114 .0110	.0123 .0152 .0153 .0288 .0288 .0004 .01536 .0181 .0288	.0039- .0045- .0053- .0079- .0134- .0059- .0044- .0052- .0073-	.0001- .0003 .0009 .0015 .0038 .0001- .0002	.0084- .0063- .0066- .0080- .0051- .0089- .0072- .0079- .0076-

TABLE III .- Continued

INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELITA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 2

$$c_s = 0.85c_r; y_i = 0.20b_1/2; y_o = 0.67b_1/2$$

(c) 8₆ = -0.050c

¥	α, deg	AC _L	AC _D	AC	VC.	AC _n	∆C _T
.600 .600 .600 .600	2.05- 4.08 8.19 12.32 16.45 20.55 23.60	.0348- .0468- .0552- .0682- .0535- .0155- .0239-	.0166 .0160 .0120 .0075 .0030 .0075	.0120 .0109 .0158 .0186 .0092 .0273 .0346	.0074- .0077- .0098- .0133- .0109- .0112- .0104-	.0000- .0005 .0031 .0034 .0024 .0046 .0070	.0166- .0140- .0131- .0152- .0113- .0091- .0137-
0000000005555555	2 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 · 0 ·	- 05598	.0191 .0179 .0126 .0075 .0046 .0060- .0115 .0202 .0183 .0192 .0128 .0097	.0184 .0175 .02264 .0293 .0137 .01156 .03087 .02483 .00308 .00120	.0086- .00907- .0135- .0120- .0120- .0120- .0099- .0094- .0130- .0150- .0158- .0158-	.0004 .0008 .00035 .00035 .00055 .00096 .00010 .00010 .00037 .00047 .00055	.0129- .0122- .0136- .0101- .0078- .0106- .0139- .0127- .0129- .0113- .0086- .0094-
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.08- 4.11 8.22 12.43 16.54- 2.13 4.15 8.3	.0625- .0618- .0842- .1524- .0860- .0659- .06661- .0820- .0888-	.0212 .0206 .0139 .0002- .0004- .0065- .0230 .0208 .0153	.0217 .0212 .0254 .0333 .0311 .0163 .0022 .0101 .0362	.0092- .0093- .0103- .0123- .0156- .0114- .0097- .0093- .0100- .0109-	.0007 .0013 .0024 .0036 .0048 .0051 .0009 .0012 .0022	.0134- .0121- .0119- .0138- .0109- .0083- .0118- .0116- .0115-

TARKE III .- Concluded

INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOTLER 2

 $\begin{bmatrix} c_{g} = 0.85c_{r}; y_{i} = 0.20b_{i}/2; y_{0} = 0.67b_{i}/2 \end{bmatrix}$ (d) $\delta_{g} = -0.095c$.

м	a, dog	AC _L	AC _D	AC ₂₀	AC ₁	AC _m	ΔCI
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.07- .03- 4.06 8.18 12.29 16.42 20.559	.0990- .0957- .1149- .1200- .1348- .1129- .0982- .0728-	.0379 .0363 .0277 .0194 .0050 .0018- .0100-	.0246 .0246 .0288 .0310 .0304 .0516 .0569	.0133- .0140- .0160- .0187- .0190- .0197- .0183-	.0013 .0021 .0035 .0059 .0070 .0094 .0142	.0131- .0116- .0110- .0099- .0086- .0052- .0076-
888888888888888888888888888888888888888	1	.0649 .0266- .1306- .1333- .11193- .0599- .0981- .10730- .1332- .1332- .10656- .0145-	.0346 .0386 .0300 .0182 .0072 .0049- .0075- .0121 .0312 .0391 .0178 .0033-	.0351 .03240 .03241 .0233 .0279 .0209 .0181 .0292 .03948 .0316 .0287	.0134- .0143- .0169- .0201- .0190- .0131- .0141- .0142- .0161- .0162- .0214- .0186- .0131-	.0016 .0038 .00035 .00072 .0097 .0128 .0160 .0015 .00037 .00037 .00078 .00096	.0107- .0103- .00112- .0095- .0087- .0048- .0075- .01120- .0114- .00980- .0055- .0072-
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.10- 4.09- 8.25- 12.41- 16.59- 4.08- 4.23	.1048- .1138- .1391- .1393- .1400- .0805- .1079- .1120- .1394- .1384-	.0431 .0413 .0337 .0194 .0051 .0067 .0467 .0466 .0377	.0318 .0306 .0349 .0369 .0423 .0215 .0363 .0350	.0139~ .0144~ .0157~ .0161~ .0200~ .0164~ .0140~ .0131~ .0141~	.0017 .0035 .0034 .0052 .0077 .0071 .0016 .0016	.0118 ~ .0101 ~ .0101 ~ .0092 ~ .0084 ~ .0036 ~ .0107 ~ .0095 ~ .0075 ~

TABLE IV. - INCREMENTAL ASSOCIAMANIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 3

Note that the minus signs are given after the values.

$$c_8 = 0.93c_T$$
; $y_1 = 0.18b_1/2$; $y_0 = 0.67b_1/2$

(a) 8_B = -0.0102

¥	a, deg	VC.	ac _D	AC _m	VC.	AC _n	AG _Y
.60 .60 .60 .60	2.02- .01 4.11 8.23 12.36 16.48 20.57 23.64	.0223 .0078 .0195 .0239 .0095 .0355 .0358	.0000- .0005 .0018 .0045 .0027 .0089 .0108	.0052 .0054 .0037 .0019 .0031 .0194 .0253	.0022- .0023- .0023- .0011- .0012- .0007- .0002-	.0008- .0007- .0004- .0004- .0004- .0002- .0001- .0003-	.0089- .0064- .0056- .0060- .0054- .0058- .0058-
	2.04- 002- 002- 130- 130- 130- 130- 130- 130- 130- 130	.0025- .0023- .0037- .0010- .0012- .0311- .0094 .0220 .0009- .0009- .0003- .0088- .0357	.0019 .0017 .0020 .0011 .0006 .0076 .0026 .0088 .0019 .0014 .0016 .0008 .0102	.0079 .0083 .0078 .0058 .1261 .0875 .1011 .0094 .01092 .0072 .00775 .0069	.0029- .0030- .0028- .0018- .0019- .0000- .0030- .0032- .0025- .0020- .0010-	.0007- .0003- .0001- .0001- .0005- .0005- .0005- .0001- .0000- .0001-	.0050- .0043- .0043- .0047- .0045- .0014- .0038- .0048- .0044- .0048- .0048-
90 90 90 90 90 90 90 94 94 94	2.04- .02 4.17 8.32 12.49 16.58 2.03- 4.16 8.30	.0035 .0008 .0061- .0155- .0323- .0003 .0057- .0017- .0129	.0023 .0023 .0015 .0001~ .0038~ .0003 .0029 .0030 .0043	.0112 .0106 .0128 .0176 .0331 .0033 .0128 .0118 .0007-	.0031- .0036- .0033- .00116- .0013- .0036- .0036- .0033-	.0007- .0004- .0001- .0000- .0031 .0007- .0005- .0008-	0036- 0039- 00355- 00550- 0044- 00052- 00036- 00044-

Ж	α, deg	AC _L	AC _D	AC.	AC1	AC _n	ACY
.60 .60 .60 .60	2.04- .00- 4.09 8.20 12.33 16.45 20.54 23.62	.0372- .0448- .0504- .0485- .0438- .0286- .0142-	.0083 .0069 .0028 .0005 .0030- .0051- .0019-	.0190 .0201 .0209 .0183 .0131 .0319 .0328	.0066- .0070- .0077- .0077- .0063- .0049- .0044-	.0007- .0004- .0007 .0009 .0008 .0015	.0090- .0097- .0088- .0101- .0086- .0075- .0079-
00000000555555555	2.05- 4.138 1.282 1.6.55 2.0.64 2.0.64 2.0.14 8.366 1.	.0503- .0654- .05531- .05500- .05500- .0538- .0198- .0436- .0436- .04602- .04602- .06539- .0105	.0098 .0080 .0032 .0015 .0045- .0141- .0022- .0035 .0098 .0094 .0009 .0001	2221 222508 222560 2017788 2011798 201	.0074- .0077- .0075- .0088- .0061- .0048- .0022- .0010- .0075- .0079- .0079- .0077- .0040- .0027-	.0007- .0003- .0007 .0012 .0007 .0016 .0019 .0001 .0003- .0008 .0011 .0012 .0034	.0075- .0075- .0074- .0074- .0058- .0054- .0075- .0075- .0075- .0067- .0059-
9900000	2.05- 4.15 8.32 12.46 16.05- 2.04- 4.15 8.29	.0465- .0485- .0492- .0611- .1081- .4333- .0458- .0471- .0453-	.0103 .0088 .0042 .0008 .0153- .1658- .0104 .0098 .0054	.0250 .0244 .0262 .0363 .0543 .0297 .0253 .0241 .0257	.0077- .0080- .0077- .0080- .0097- .0030- .0078- .0074- .0077-	.0007~ .0003~ .0008 .0011 .0023 .0002 .0006~ .0004~	.0079- .0062- .0063- .0090- .0076- .0078- .0073- .0060- .0056-

AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSON

TABLE IV .- Continued INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELITA WING EQUIPPED WITH EPOILER 3

 $\left[c_{B} = 0.93c_{T}; y_{I} = 0.18b_{1}/2; y_{O} = 0.67b_{1}/2\right]$ (c) $\delta_{B} = -0.050c$

¥	a, deg	VC ^T	ACD	AC_	AC	AC _n	AC _Y
.600 .600 .600 .600	2.06- .02- 4.07 8.20 12.31 16.44 20.54 23.60	.0800- .0881- .0904- .0873- .0890- .0566- .0539- .0358-	.0221 .0173 .0112 .0073 .0048- .0028- .0059-	.0306 .0317 .0328 .0338 .0237 .0439 .0466	.0122- .0127- .0135- .0152- .0121- .0111- .0103-	.0004- .0000- .0023 .0036 .0026 .0050	.0083- .0110- .0091- .0108- .0077- .0066- .0088-
80000000055555555555555555555555555555	1.8903 4.137 8.457 120.665600 120.6656000 4.19 4.29 4.29 4.20 8.4473	.0816 	.0177 .0204 .0121 .0072 .0030 .0019 .0013 .0123 .02305 .00129 .00161 .0016	.0435 .0378 .0412 .0237 .0211 .00388 .03396 .0414 .00488 .001889	.0127- .01286- .0136- .0152- .0103- .01033- .010539- .01334- .01599- .0159- .0159- .0159-	.0001 .00021 .00028 .00037 .00046 .00033 .000022 .00022 .00040 .00045	.0091- .0088- .0087- .0106- .0082- .0057- .0071- .0039- .0114- .0083- .0011- .0076- .0073-
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94 .94	2.05- .0.4 4.14 8.31 12.46 16.57 2.04- .01 4.14 8.31	.0813- .0840- .0932- .1036- .1253- .0410- .0857- .0826- .0956- .0872-	.0240 .0231 .0138 .0092 .0074- .0024 .0252 .0237 .0147	.0390 .0387 .0399 .0483 .0618 .0153 .0420 .0390 .0426	.0134- .0135- .0152- .0142- .0106- .0134- .0129- .0145-	.0004- .0005 .0023 .0029 .0036 .0045 .0003- .0001 .0020	.0113- .0086- .0085- .0120- .0097- .0063- .0109- .0084- .0086- .0102-

TARKE IV .- Concluded

incremental aerodynamic characteristics of 60° drifts wing equipped with spoiler 3

$$c_s = 0.93c_r$$
; $y_1 = 0.18b_1/2$; $y_0 = 0.67b_1/2$]
(d) $\delta_8 = -0.0958$.

x	a, deg	AC _L	vc ^D	AC ₃₀	vc. ¹	PC ³⁷	ACT
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.05- 4.07 8.20 12.32 16.44 20.56 23.60	.0958- .1049- .1202- .1303- .1241- .0968- .0826- .0634-	.0441 .0401 .0305 .0200 .0074 .0044 .0035-	.0447 .0458 .0477 .0495 .0417 .0616 .0638	.0186- .0202- .0205- .0221- .0210- .0202- .0183- .0179-	.0005- .0002 .0026 .0050 .0056 .0086 .0126	.0116- .0137- .0125- .0127- .0086- .0075- .0093-
88888888888888888888888888888888888888	2.01263755660039395 4.2477566003939595 1600322 4.2456 12606003939595	.1134- .11281- .1280- .0790- .0903- .0505- .0158 .1097- .1116- .13103- .0714- .0659-	.0472 .0430 .0294 .0202 .0159 .0051 .0500 .0176 .0470 .0429 .03194 .0161 .0111	.0471 .0494 .0535 .0516 .0305 .0317 .0153 .0107 .0499 .05488 .0204 .0304	.0186- .0189- .0199- .0206- .01799- .0106- .0184- .0192- .0186- .0206- .0102-	.0007- .0001 .00027 .00050 .00051 .00082 .0129 .0103 .0006- .00054 .00054 .0078	.0133- .0122- .0120- .0118- .0092- .0067- .0082- .0000- .0133- .0118- .0122- .0092- .00984-
900000000000000000000000000000000000000	2.06- .00- 4.15 8.32 12.45 16.59 2.05- 4.14 8.29	. 1085- .1118- .1262- .1290- .1108- .0534- .1113- .0468- .1368- .1364-	.0480 .0433 .0318 .0220 .0112 .0170 .0505 .0474 .0358	.0516 .0519 .0553 .06834 .0231 .0544 .05765	.0181- .0178- .0184- .0184- .0199- .0165- .0176- .0176- .0176-	.0003- .0007 .0023 .0046 .0060 .0064 .0005- .0001- .0013	.0126- .0107- .0107- .0111- .0091- .0071- .0123- .0089- .0096-

TABLE V.- INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELIA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 4 Note that the minus signs are given after the values.

 $c_{g} = 1.00c_{r}; y_{1} = 0.16b_{1}/2; y_{0} = 0.67b_{1}/2$ (a) $8_{g} = -0.010r$

	(a) $\delta_{\rm g} = -0.010c$							
x	α, deg	AC _L	νcD	AC ₃₈	VC.	AC _n	AC _X	
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.02- .01 4.11 8.36 16.49 20.55	.0247- .0295- .0178- .0181- .0274- .0201 .0205	.0038 .0035 .0021 .0013 .0019- .0079 .0093	.0252 .0247 .0227 .0227 .0230 .0376 .0393	.0063- .0063- .0063- .0047- .0039- .0038-	.0004- .0002- .0005 .0011 .0009 .0017 .0019	.0029- .0022- .0013- .0024- .0024- .0017- .0024-	
.800 .800 .800 .800 .800 .800 .805 .805	2.025 4.1359 4.1359 4.1566532 4.160.665 1.2032 4.160.665 1.2032 1.2032 1.2032 1.2032	.0319- .0286- .0273- .0164- .0151- .0076- .0281- .0281- .1039- .0079- .0034	.0043 .0035 .0016 .0004 .0001- .0030 .00639 .0035 .0021 .0110- .0022 .0044 .0096	02557 02432 02432 0143 01114 01114 02258 01448 022248 0148	.0059- .0055- .0057- .0032- .0032- .0013- .0057- .0055- .0055- .0020- .0013-	.0006- .0003- .0008 .0006 .0012 .0015 .0017- .0004- .0002 .0006 .0002	.0023- .0024- .0028- .0030- .0034- .00114- .00217- .0031- .0031- .00325- .0022-	
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.03- .03 4.18 8.33 12.48 16.59 2.004 4.17 8.30	.0248- .0207- .0193- .0314- .0592- .0096- .01910- .0122- .0044-	.0044 .0035- .0024 .0001 .0073- .0036 .0042 .0039 .0034	.02355 .02355 .03417 .04179 .02342 .0166	.0052- .0051- .0046- .0053- .0087- .0011- .0050- .0039- .0034-	.0007- .0003- .0001 .0008- .0005- .0001-	.0037- .0020- .0018- .0041- .0036- .0028- .0019- .0020- .0026-	

 $\left[c_{B} = 1.00c_{T}; y_{1} = 0.16b_{1}/2; y_{0} = 0.67b_{1}/2\right]$ (b) $\delta_{B_{1}} = -0.025\overline{c}$.

			(0) og, = -0.				
ж	a, geb	ΔC _L	AC _D	AC _{nn}	AC2	AC _{ID}	WC ^X
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.03- .01 4.10 8.22 12.35 16.46 20.57 23.63	.0639- .0667- .0633- .0659- .0497- .0487- .0242- .0103-	.0143 .0129 .0074 .0037 .0014 .0049- .0016-	.0436 .0431 .0405 .0401 .0327 .0519 .0508	.0119- .0120- .0120- .0118- .0098- .0081- .0071- .0053-	.0013- .0009- .0009 .0014 .0017 .0031 .0036	.0053- .0046- .0034- .0053- .0042- .0042- .0047-
80000000000000000000000000000000000000	2.026 4.1315 8.3450732 4.136.66732 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047 2.0047	. 06 42 - . 06 63 - . 06 27 - . 06 45 - . 04 86 - . 04 84 - . 01 84 - . 01 59 - . 05 02 - . 05 98 - . 06 15 - . 03 13 - . 02 60 -	.0143 .0123 .0068 .0042 .0004- .0015- .0013 .0011 .0128 .0163 .0034 .0034 .0021	.0433 .04422 .0424 .03264 .03261 .04439 .04439 .04439 .04239 .04239	.0112- .0111- .0107- .0108- .0069- .0069- .0031- .0119- .01102- .01102- .0154- .0054- .0034-	.00006 .000127 .00002137 .000000000000000000000000000000000000	12
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	3.01- 1.05 4.18 8.34 12.49 16.59 2.005 4.18 8.43	. 05 47 - . 05 37 - . 05 43 - . 05 96 - . 06 05 - . 01 29 - . 05 09 - . 05 38 - . 05 34 - . 04 20 -	.0121 .0109 .0073 .0041 .0003- .0051 .0125 .0120 .0084 .0068	.0424 .0419 .0403 .0454 .0457 .0106 .0408 .0411 .0383	.0103- .01095- .0095- .0098- .0034- .0098- .0098- .0091-	.0012- .0006- .0003 .0010 .0030 .0007 .0014- .0010- .0000-	.0061- .0028- .0024- .0053- .0053- .0041- .0052- .0028- .0027- .0045-

TABLE V.- Concluded. Incremental aerodynamic characteristics of 60° delta wing equipped with spotler 4

 $\begin{bmatrix} c_{g} = 1.00c_{r}; y_{1} = 0.16b_{1}/2; y_{0} = 0.67b_{1}/2 \end{bmatrix}$ (c) $\delta_{g} = -0.0506$

M	a,	AC _L	AC _D	ΔC _m	ACZ	AC _n	AC _T
.600 .600 .600 .600	2.04- .01- 4.11 8.22 12.33 16.46 20.56 23.60	.1179- .1213- .0995- .1203- .1111- .0900- .0745- .0553-	.0294 .0257 .0174 .0096 .0000- .0055- .0096-	.0616 .0605 .0578 .0573 .0454 .0634 .0626	.0188- .0187- .0182- .0181- .0145- .0126- .0114-	.0019- .0013- .0009 .0024 .0023 .0053 .0064	.0131- .0143- .0128- .0152- .0143- .0122- .0165- .0136-
0000000005555555	2.035 035 035 035 035 035 035 035 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037 037	.10983- .11074- .06753- .06753- .06753- .087076- .11024- .11234- .07315- .0933	.0286 .0233 .0154 .0117 .0071 .0008 .00554 .0222 .0155 .00105 .0089	.0591 .05867 .05683 .0364 .03267 .00560 .005607 .005888 .00488	.0167- .0161- .0161- .0103- .0098- .0058- .0058- .0162- .0162- .0153- .0086- .0088-	.0021- .0013- .0008 .0014 .0015 .0044 .0051 .0017 .0019- .0009- .0008 .0012	.0123- .0107- .0131- .0121- .0120- .0120- .0128- .0114- .0113-
.900 .9900 .990 .994 .994	2.01- .04 4.18 8.34 12.48 16.61 2.01- .05 4.19 8.35	.0931- .0859- .0931- .10934- .0913- .0578- .0834- .0736- .0860- .0778-	.0249 .0226 .0156 .0104 .0048 .0000- .0272 .0247 .0189	.0587 .0559 .05641 .05399 .0564 .0550	.0154- .0155- .0146- .0149- .0148- .0085- .0151- .0147- .0139-	.0014- .0006- .0009 .0018 .0031 .0039 .0019- .0010-	.012700930103013201200121009000970117 -

TABLE VI. - INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELITA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOTLER 5

 $\mathbf{c_{s}} = 0.85 \mathbf{c_{r}}; \; \mathbf{y_{i}} = 0.20 \mathbf{b_{i}/2}; \; \mathbf{y_{o}} = 0.55 \mathbf{b_{i}/2}; \; \delta_{s} = -0.050 \mathbf{\overline{g}}$

и	a, dog	AC _L	ac _D	AC _m	ACZ	AC _n	∆ C _Y
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.05- .01- 4.09 8.21 12.34 16.46 20.56 23.59	.0061- .0135- .0115- .0168- .0158- .0025- .0061	.0066 .0070 .0060 .0050 .0035 .0069 .0120	.0023 .0028 .0038 .0038 .0011 .0217 .0295	.0024- .0027- .0036- .0041- .0020- .0063- .0076- .0103-	.0014 .0014 .0020 .0026 .0012 .0030 .0054	.0082- .0109- .0095- .0101- .0091- .0082- .0084-
80000000000000000000000000000000000000	2.07- .02- 4.12 8.26 12.41 16.56 20.65 23.64 2.02- 4.12 8.28 12.76 16.57 20.65	.02366	.0086 .0078 .0056 .0005 .0005 .0005 .0146 .0097 .0054 .0054 .00803	.0066 .0061 .0093 .0110 .0007- .0069 .0108 .0011 .0083 .0080 .0120 .0146 .0060	.0033- .0034- .0043- .0044- .0101- .0085- .01005- .0038- .0046- .0065- .0105- .0083-	.0014 .0015 .0026 .0007 .0049 .0083 .0103 .0017 .0025 .0036 .0053	.0083- .0081- .00865- .0065- .0074- .00785- .00085- .00085- .00085- .00085-
.90 .90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94	2.07- .02- 4.13 8.29 12.44 16.58 2.07- 4.13 8.29	.0277- .0412- .0382- .05882- .0214- .0373- .0309- .0412- .0551-	.0097 .0087 .0058 .0002 .0002 .0076 .0091 .0065 .0009	.0099 .0087 .0139 .0232 .0149 .0128 .0134 .0110	.0038- .0040- .0032- .0051- .0061- .0091- .0041- .0051- .0051-	.0015 .0019 .0027 .0032 .0029 .0039 .0016 .0018	.0089- .0069- .0064- .0064- .0042- .0077- .0075-

Table VII.- incremental aerodinanic characteristics of 60° delpa wing equipped with spoiler 6

 $c_B = 0.85c_T$; $y_1 = 0.35b_1/2$; $y_0 = 0.67b_1/2$; $\delta_B = -0.050c_0$

И	α, deg	AC _I ,	₽C ^D	VG ^{My}	AC ₁	AC _n	AC _Y
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.06- .02- 4.07 8.19 12.31 16.59 23.59	.0189- .0295- .0338- .0463- .0722- .0214- .0250-	.0082 .0076 .0055 .0014 .0085- .0011- .0052-	.0000- .0013 .0038 .0085 .0047 .0319 .0333	.0026- .0034 .0050- .0097- .0075- .0093- .0082- .0080-	.0016- .0018- .0009- .0001 .0007 .0030 .0044	.0042- .0019- .0017- .0030- .0004- .0016- .0012-
000000005555555555555555555555555555555	2 . 117144 5 8 2 2 7 3 7 4 8 2 6 6 0 0 0 1 2 7 3 7 4 8 2 6 6 0 0 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	.0317- .03527- .046131- .046171- .08475- .00336713- .00337713- .00453788- .001823	.0096 .0097 .0008 .0023- .0023- .0052- .0018 .0102 .0094 .0064 .0076- .0055	.0060 .0073 .0105 .0186 .0049 .02082 .00082 .00182 .01184 .0108	.0041- .0048- .0064- .0163- .0063- .0090- .0070- .0035- .0047- .0056- .00709- .0131- .0088- .0046-	.0016- .0012- .0007- .0008 .0010 .0042 .0049 .0028 .0015- .0005- .0005-	.0020- .00116- .00122- .0019- .0010- .0029- .00156- .0026- .0028- .0028-
900	2.07- 4.129 4.129 12.43 16.58 2.07- 4.12 8.28	. 0504- . 0461- . 0319- . 0678- . 0609- . 0042 . 0448- . 0468- . 0483-	.0126 .0114 .0104 .0010 .0059- .0060 .0120 .0107 .0079	.0173 .0138 .0062 .0230 .0214 .0003- .0155 .0133 .0131	.0042- .0064- .0068- .0107- .0146- .0065- .0060- .0066- .0101-	.0017- .0011- .0008- .0007- .0044 .0031 .0016- .0011- .0012-	.0045- .0023- .0023- .0040- .0022- .0024- .0024- .0025- .0030-


TABLE VIII. - INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 7

 $c_g = 0.85c_r$; $y_1 = 0.67b_1/2$; $y_0 = 1.00b_1/2$; $\delta_g = -0.050c$

м	a, deg	¢C ^L	AC _D	AC _m	VC.	ΔCn	ΦCĀ
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	2.039 0.039 1.023 1.	.0179- .03880- .0234- .02359- .0157- .01246 .00157- .00157- .00157- .00157- .00157- .003633- .00316	.0084 .00847 .000125	29455645 0035455645 00005455645 000000000000000000000000000000000000	.0047- .00447- .00664- .000554- .000554- .000674- .000674- .0006751- .000671203 .0007511603 .000775- .00075- .00075	000211246 2025022743035108 59362 000000000000000000000000000000000000	.00110- .00110- .00013- .000013- .000013- .000013- .000013- .000012- .00001

TABLE IX.- INCREMENTAL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF 60° DELTA WING EQUIPPED WITH SPOILER 8 [Note that the mimus signs are given after the values. $c_{\rm g} = 0.85c_{\rm r}; \ y_{\rm i} = 0.20b_{\rm i}/2; \ y_{\rm o} = 1.00b_{\rm i}/2; \ \delta_{\rm g} = -0.050c_{\rm i}$

Ж	a, deg	ac _L	∆C _D	ΔCm	AC Z	∆C _n	ΔOY
.60 .60 .60 .60 .60	2.05- 4.06 8.18 12.32 16.41 20.55 23.60	.0671- .0824- .0909- .0917- .0765- .0883- .0303-	.0296 .0276 .02703 .0113 .0040 .00952 .00158	.0196 .0223 .0267 .0231 .0118 .0360 .0357	.0118- .0137- .0165- .0163- .0125- .0126- .0119-	.0013- .0006- .0012 .0031 .0031 .0050 .0069	.0125- .0113- .0105- .0099- .0062- .0044- .0048-
88888888555555	2.000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	.0834- .0844- .1035- .0946- .01579- .0193- .0282- .0712- .0814- .09353- .0853- .00353-	.0335 .03235 .02235 .00157 .00034 .00184 .03448 .03448 .01481 .00179	02388678 02388678 0238818531 0011058238531 0001200 001241	.0127- .0147- .01455- .01559- .01398- .001999- .01288- .01551- .01559- .0129- .01398-	.0013- .00012 .00044 .00544 .0075 .00013- .000133 .000133 .000444 .00580	.0097- .0101- .0103- .0103- .0054- .0056- .0109- .0100- .0105- .0065- .0056-
.90 .90 .90 .90 .94 .94 .94	2.13- .05- 4.137 4.27 12.59 16.76 2.13- 4.13 8.37	.0712- .0831- .0939- .17370- .0872- .0693- .0722- .0952- .1015-	.0363 .0331 .0272 .0025 .0047 .0077 .0391 .0383 .0305	.0059 .0140 .0372 .0641 .0971 .0909 .0028 .0124 .0377	.0128- .0150- .0149- .0146- .0179- .0124- .0110- .0127- .0125- .0124-	.0013- .0003- .0009 .00055 .0051- .0015- .0008- .0002	.0115- .0095- .0103- .0110- .0090- .0043- .0106- .0091- .0089-






Figure 1.- System of stability axes used. (Positive values of forces, moments, and angles are indicated by arrows.)

Wing Geometry





Figure 2.- General arrangement of thin 60° delta wing-fuselage model. (All dimensions in inches unless otherwise noted.)

Spoiler Characteristics


Spoiler	Cs	Cs/Cr	Y _i	yi/bi/2	Yo	Y0/61/2	b _s	b _{8/b1/2}
	(ft)		(ft)		(ft)		(ft)	
12345678	1.184 1.678 1.836 1.974 1.678 1.678 1.678	0.60 .85 .93 1.00 .85 .85 .85	0.207 .194 .187 .179 .194 .323 .646 .194	0.30 .20 .18 .16 .20 .33 .67	0.456 .646 .707 .760 .323 .646 .969	0.67 .67 .67 .67 .33 .67 1.00	0.249 .452 .520 .581 .129 .323 .323 .775	0.36 .47 .49 .5/ .13 .33 .33 .80






8= -.050ē

Spoiler 2-&=-010 c -025c -0 50c -095c





Spoiler 8 -& = - 0 5 0 c

20.14

Figure 3.- Details of various spoiler configurations tested on thin 60° delta wing. (All dimensions in inches unless otherwise noted.)






Figure 4.- The variation of test Reynolds number with Mach number. (Variations of tunnel temperature account for variation of R at constant M.)




Figure 5.- The aerodynamic characteristics of the plain 60° delta wing.




Figure 6.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack for spoiler 1 at various projections. $c_{\rm g}=0.60c_{\rm r};\ y_{\rm i}=0.30\frac{b_{\rm l}}{2};$ $y_{\rm o}=0.67\frac{b_{\rm l}}{2}.$




Figure 7.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack for spoiler 2 at various projections. $c_s = 0.85c_r$; $y_1 = 0.20\frac{b_l}{2}$; $y_0 = 0.67\frac{b_l}{2}$.

THE WATER THE PARTY OF THE PART




Figure 8.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack for spoiler 3 at various projections. $c_s = 0.93c_r$; $y_i = 0.18\frac{b_l}{2}$; $y_o = 0.67\frac{b_l}{2}$.




Figure 9.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack for spoiler 4 at various projections. $c_s = 1.00c_r$; $y_i = 0.16 \frac{b_l}{2}$; $y_0 = 0.67 \frac{b_l}{2}$.




Figure 10.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with angle of attack for spoilers 5, 6, 7, 2, and 8 with various spoiler spans and spanwise locations. $c_8=0.85c_T$; $\delta_8=-0.050\bar{c}$.







Figure 11.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with chordwise location of spoiler at various spoiler deflections. (All spoilers extend from fuselage to $0.67\frac{b_1}{2}$.)










Figure 12.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with spoiler span. Flagged symbols indicate values obtained by adding incremental contributions of spoilers 5, 2, and 8. $c_s = 0.8sc_r$; $\delta_s = -0.050\overline{c}$; $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$.









Figure 13.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with spoiler projection at various chordwise locations of spoiler. (All spoilers extend from fuselage to $0.67\frac{b_l}{2}$.)








Figure 14.- Variation of incremental rolling-moment coefficient with Mach number for spoilers extending from the fuselage to $0.67\frac{b_{\it l}}{2}$ and located at several wing-chord stations. $\delta_{\rm S}=-0.050\bar{\rm c}$.